Ranking Guide - Maintenance Projects:

Primary Program Priority	Point	Description of ranking consideration
	Range	
Catch and Effort	0-10	Rank based on range within module and level of sampling defined
Biological Sampling	0-8	under Program design. When considering biological or bycatch
Bycatch/Species Interactions	0-6	funding rank according to priority matrices.
Social and Economic	0-4	
Metadata	+2	Additional points if metadata collected and supplied to Program defined within the proposal.

Project Quality Factors	Point Range	Description of ranking consideration
Multi-Partner/Regional impact including broad applications.	0-5	Rank based on the number of Partners involved in project OR regional scope of proposal (e.g. geographic range of the stock).
> yr 2 contains funding transition plan and/or justification for continuance	0-4	Rank based on defined funding transition plan away from Program funding or viable justification for continued Program funding.
In-kind contribution	0-4	1=1%-25% 2=26%-50% 3=51%-75% 4=76%-99%
Improvement in data quality/quantity/timeliness	0-4	1=Maintain minimum level of needed data collections. 4=Improvements in data collection reflecting 100% of related module as defined within the Program design.
Potential secondary module as a by-product (In program priority order)	0-4, 0-3, 0-2, 0-1	Rank based on <u>single</u> additional module data collection and level of collection as defined within the Program design of individual module.
Impact on stock assessment	0-3	Rank based on the level of data collection that leads to new or greatly improved stock assessments.

Other Factors	Point Range	Description of ranking consideration
Properly Prepared	0-5	Meets requirements as specified in funding decision document Step2b and Guidelines

<u>Ranking Guide – Maintenance Projects:</u> (to be used only if funding available exceeds total Maintenance funding requested)

Ranking Factors	Point Range	Description of Ranking Consideration
Achieved Goals	0-3	Proposal indicates project has consistently met
		previous set goals. Current proposal provides
		project goals and if applicable, intermediate
		metrics to achieve overall achieved goals.
Data Delivery Plan	0-2	Ranked based if a data delivery plan to Program is
		supplied and defined within the proposal.
Level of Funding	-1 - 1	-1 = Increased funding from previous year
		0 = Maintained funding from previous year
		1 = Decreased funding from previous year
Properly Prepared	-1 - 1	-1 = Not properly prepared
		1 = Properly prepared
Merit	0-3	Ranked based on subjective worthiness

Ranking Guide - New Projects:

Program Priority	Point	Description of ranking consideration
	Range	
Catch and Effort	0-10	Rank based on range within module and level of sampling defined
Biological Sampling	0-8	under Program design. When considering biological or bycatch
Bycatch/Species Interactions	0-6	funding rank according to priority matrices.
Social and Economic	0-4	
Metadata	+2	Additional points if metadata collected and supplied to Program defined within the proposal.

Project Quality Factors	Point Range	Description of ranking consideration
Multi-Partner/Regional impact including broad applications.	0-5	Rank based on the number of Partners involved in project or regional scope of proposal (e.g. fisheries sampled).
Contains funding transition plan / Defined end-point	0-4	Rank based on quality of funding transition plan or defined end point.
In-kind contribution	0-4	1=1%-25% 2=26%-50% 3=51%-75% 4=76%-99%
Improvement in data quality/quantity/timeliness	0-4	1=Maintain minimum level of needed data collections. 4=Improvements in data collection reflecting 100% of related module as defined within the Program design.
Potential secondary module as a by-product (In program priority order)	0-4, 0-3, 0-2, 0-1	Rank based on <u>single</u> additional module data collection and level of collection as defined within the Program design of individual module.
Innovative	0-5	Rank based on new technology, methodology, financial savings, etc.
Impact on stock assessment	0-3	Rank based on the level of data collection that leads to new or greatly improved stock assessments.

Other Factors	Point	Description of ranking consideration
	Range	
Properly Prepared	0-5	Meets requirements as specified in funding decision document Step2b and Guidelines